## Law and Economics Review of Economic Concepts

Francisco Poggi

## Review of Economic Concepts

- Welfare Theorems.
- Externalities.
- Game Theory.
  - Solution concepts in static and dynamic games.
  - Bayesian games.
- Choice under uncertainty.
- Asymmetric information.
  - Moral Hazard.
  - Adverse Selection.

## Choice Under Uncertainty

- Gains from driving: 500 EUR a month.
- Probability of accident: 0.01.
- Cost of accident. 10.000 EUR.
- Expected value of driving:

 $EV = 0.99 \times \$500 + 0.01 \times (\$500 - \$10.000)$ 

## Choice Under Uncertainty

• Would the person drive if the EV is positive?

• utility:  $u: R_+ \to R$ 

 $EU = 0.99 \times u(\$500) + 0.01 \times u(\$500 - \$10.000)$ 

#### Insurance

The driver is offered full insurance for a price z. Would the driver buy the insurance?

$$EU(\text{insured}) = 0.99 \cdot u(\$500 - z) + 0.01 \cdot u(\$500 - z) = u(\$500 - z)$$

• z = 0.01 \* \$10.000 = \$100.

• *u* str. concave  $\Rightarrow$  driver buys the insurance.

Proof.

$$u \text{ str. concave} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u(\alpha \cdot x + (1 - \alpha) \cdot y) > \alpha \cdot u(x) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot u(y) \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{C}$$

So,

 $egin{aligned} & u(0.99\cdot 500 + 0.01\cdot (500 - 10000) > 0.99\cdot u(500) + 0.01\cdot u(500 - 10000)) \ & u(400) > 0.99\cdot u(500) + 0.01\cdot u(-9500) \end{aligned}$ 

- What if the price is not actuarial?
- Threshold  $\bar{z}$  such that driver buys if  $z < \bar{z}$ .

• Solve for 
$$u(x) = \sqrt{20000 + x}$$
.

$$\sqrt{(20500 - \bar{z})} = 0.99 \cdot \underbrace{\sqrt{20500}}_{\sim 143.1782} + 0.01 \cdot \underbrace{\sqrt{10500}}_{102.4695}$$

 $\bar{z} = 20500 - (142.7711)^2 = \$116.41$ 

- The driver is willing to pay more than the actuarial price.
- Risk-aversion.

# Continuous driving

Decision to drive might not be binary.

- amount of driving  $x \in R_+$ .
- accident  $a \in \{0, 1\}$  with loss K.
- Probability of accident p(x) increasing.
- Initial wealth  $W_0 > K$ .
- utility from driving and money  $u: R_+ \times R_+ \to R_-$
- Assumption: separable preferences  $u(x, w) = \hat{u}(x) + v(w)$  with v'(0) = 1.

## Uninsured driver

• Uninsured driver's problem:

$$\max_{x\geq 0} \quad \hat{u}(x) + p(x) \cdot v(W_0 - K) + (1 - p(x)) \cdot v(W_0)$$

If û concave and p(x) convex and smooth, the FOC characterizes the optimum:

$$\hat{u}'(x) = p'(x) \cdot [v(W_0) - v(W_0 - K)]$$

• Solution  $x^U$ .

.

## Efficient allocation

• Social problem:

$$\max_{x\geq 0} \qquad \hat{u}(x) - p(x) \cdot K$$

• Under previous concavity and smoothness assumptions, optimum satisfies:

$$\hat{u}'(x) = p'(x) \cdot K$$

• Solution  $x^* > x^U$ .

$$egin{aligned} v(W_0) &= v(W_0 - \mathcal{K}) + \int_{W_0 - \mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{K}} v'(s) \; ds \ &\leq v(W_0 - \mathcal{K}) + \mathcal{K} \end{aligned}$$

#### Insurance

1

- Insurance contract: driver drives x\* and pays the actuarial price p(x\*)K.
- This contract is good for a risk-averse driver.

$$\hat{u}(x^*) + v(W_0 - p(x^*) \cdot K) \geq \hat{u}(x^*) + (1 - p(x^*)) \cdot v(W_0) + p(x^*) \cdot v(w_0 - K)$$

- Insurance company breaks even.
- Two problems:
  - x might not be observable.
  - Different drivers might have different risks (different  $p(\cdot)$ ).

• If x is not observable, the driver has incentives to increase the miles driven per month.

$$\hat{u}'(x^*) = p'(x^*) \cdot K > 0$$

û has a local max at x̂, this is what the driver will choose.
x̂ > x\* > x<sup>U</sup>.

#### Adverse Selection

- Two type of drivers: reckless (R) and safe (S).
- For simplicity, assume they are risk-neutral (v(w) = w).
- $p_R(x) > p_S(x)$  for all x.
- $\bullet$  Proportion  $\alpha$  of reckless drivers, with

$$p(x) := \alpha \cdot p_R(x) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot p_S(x)$$

- "Actuarially fair" insurance:  $x^*$  with premium  $p(x^*) \cdot K$ .
- Reckless driver will buy the insurance (if not risk-loving).

$$\hat{u}(x^*) - p(x^*)K \ge u(\hat{x}_R) - p(\hat{x}_R)K$$
  
 $> u(\hat{x}_R) - p_R(\hat{x}_R)K$ 

### Adverse Selection

• Safe driver will NOT buy the insurance:

$$u(\hat{x}_{S}) - p_{S}(\hat{x}_{S})K \ge u(x^{*}) - p_{S}(x^{*})K$$
  
>  $u(x^{*}) - p(x^{*})K$ 

• If only the reckless driver buys the insurance, the insurance company does not break even:

$$p(x^*)K - p_R(x^*)K < 0.$$